

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Paper No. 10

RIDHA RADHOUANE 850 SOMERSET DRIVE SUNNYVALE, CA 94087

COPY MAILED

JUL 1 6 2004

In re Application of Radhouane Application No. 09/668,864 Filed: September 25, 2000

OFFICE OF PETITIONS
ON PETITION

2000

For: AUTO ADJUSTMENT VIDEO PROJECTOR (AAVP)

This decision concerns the July 13, 2004 correspondence which is being properly treated as a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the above-referenced application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on April 25, 2003 for failure to timely respond to the January 24, 2003 non-final Office Action. The February 25, 2004 petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was dismissed on May 14, 2004 for lacking any response to the non-final Office action.

The instant renewed petition encloses an amendment and is thus granted.

Applicant, being an independent inventor, submitted a \$1,330 payment for the \$665 small entity petition fee. The overpayment of \$665 has been refunded.

In addition, the Utility Patent Application Transmittal enclosed with the instant petition is evidently erroneously filed and is not entered.

Finally, Applicant continues to use a correspondence address different from that in the USPTO record for this application. If Applicant has moved, a proper request to change the correspondence address must be promptly filed to prevent non-receipt of any future Office action. All future correspondence on this application will be mailed to the current correspondence-address-of-record unless the USPTO is properly instructed otherwise. A blank request form is attached.

The application file is being returned to Technology Center 2800 for continued examination.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 308-0763.

RC Tang

Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

Attachment: Change of correspondence address form